



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/691,802	10/19/2000	Hidehiro Matsumoto	13992	5759	
23389	7590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
	COTT MURPHY & P	ORGAD, EDAN			
400 GARDEN SUITE 300	N CITY PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TY, NY 11530	2684			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					. 13			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/691,802		MATSUMOTO, HIE	DEHIRO			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Edan Orgad		2684				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ade	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the prov	1. 1.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	December 2004						
	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdruclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7,9-12,14,16,18-24,26-29,32,32</u> Claim(s) <u>2,4,6,8,13,15,17,25,30,31,33,38,39</u> Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consider 34-37,40,42 and 44 ,41 and 43 is/are ol	<u>l-51</u> is/are bjected to.	rejected.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rece nts have been rece fority documents ha au (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application ave been receiver (a)).	on No d in this National \$	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/03 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		-152)			

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/30/04 with respect to claim 21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim 21 has not been amended has suggested by applicant in applicant's argument.

Claim 21 is still in its original form. Therefore, claim 21 is still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 102(a), see below.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/30/04 with respect to claims 1-20 and 22-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 21, it is unclear whether the gateway server, the wireless client or the source server comprises the language unit, font storing unit and output unit.

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Timothy Bickmore et al ("Web Page Filtering and Re-Authoring for Mobile Users" August 18, 1998. Palo Alto Laboratory, CA., pages 534-546. THE COMPUTER JOURNAL, VOL. 42, NO. 6).

Regarding claim 21, Bickmore teaches a wireless communication system (fig. 1) which supplies information data to a wireless client through a wireless data communication network, comprising: an information source server which includes the information data and a gateway server (see Digestor http proxy, figure 1) which is connected to the information source server via a network and selectively connected to the wireless client (see user, figure 1) via the wireless data communication network, the gateway server comprising: a font storing unit (pg. 534-535, ¶ 2.2) for storing a font which is used in the wireless client to output the information data; a font determining unit (pg. 535, ¶ 2.3) for determining a font to be stored in the font storing unit; and a font transferring unit for transferring the determined font to the wireless client, wherein the gateway server temporarily stores the information data sent from the information source server and sends the information data to the wireless client according to a data reception state of the wireless client concerned with the determined font (pg. 536, ¶ 3.1 & pg 538, ¶ 3.3.1).

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 12, 16, 29, 37, 40, 47 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timothy Bickmore et al ("Web Page Filtering and Re-Authoring for Mobile Users" August 18, 1998. Palo Alto Laboratory, CA., pages 534-546. THE COMPUTER JOURNAL, VOL. 42, NO. 6) in view of Zancho (US Patent # 5,814,798).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 29, 37, 47 and 51, Bickmore teaches a wireless communication system (fig. 1) which supplies information data to a wireless client through a wireless data communication network, comprising: an information source server which includes the information data and a gateway server (see Digestor http proxy, figure 1) which is connected to the information source server via a network and selectively connected to the wireless client (see user, figure 1) via the wireless data communication network, the gateway server comprising: a font storing unit (pg. 534-535, ¶ 2.2) for storing a font which is used in the wireless client to output the information data; a font determining unit (pg. 535, ¶ 2.3) for determining a font to be stored in the font storing unit; and a font transferring unit for transferring the determined font to the wireless client, wherein the gateway server temporarily stores the information data sent from the information source server and sends the information data to the wireless client according to a

Art Unit: 2684

data reception state of the wireless client concerned with the determined font (pg. 536, ¶ 3.1 & pg 538, ¶ 3.3.1).

However, Bickmore fails to specifically disclose said font determining unit determining the font by reference to at least one of a language selected in the wireless client and the user information database which includes user information stored in advance.

In the same field of endeavor, Zancho discloses a font determining unit determining the font by reference to at least one of a language selected in the wireless client and the user information database which includes user information stored in advance (see Zancho, figure 18, column 12, lines 36-54).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Zancho's font determining unit determining the font by reference to at least one of a language selected in the wireless client and the user information database which includes user information stored in advance with Bickmore's invention in order to provide the subscriber interface with the most friendly and efficient interface for an individual and a device such as a cellular telephone as taught by Zancho.

Regarding claims 5, 16 and 40, Bickmore teaches the user control server cooperates with the gateway server (pg. 541, \P 3.4).

Regarding claims 3, 14 and 22, Bickmore fails to specifically disclose the gateway server includes an authenticating unit which performs authentication for connecting the wireless client to the gateway server. However, an authenticating unit which performs authentication for connecting the wireless client to the gateway server is well known in the art as disclosed by Kovacs, see paragraph 0056. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2684

the art at the time the invention was made to include Kovacs' teachings of authenticating unit which performs authentication for connecting the wireless client to the gateway server with Bickmore's invention in order to assure proper usage of the system.

Regarding claims 9-11, 18-20, 26-29, 34-36, 44-46 and 48-50, Bickmore fails to specifically disclose the determined font is a character font used to display or a special font representing a pictogram or a voice font used to output a voice. However, official notice is taken, that it is well known in the art to have a font that a character font used to display or a special font representing a pictogram or a voice font used to output a voice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a font that is a character font used to display or a special font representing a pictogram or a voice font used to output a voice with Bickmore's invention in order to provide the user with different options regarding the particular manipulations of the fonts.

Claims 7, 23, 24, 32 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Timothy Bickmore et al ("Web Page Filtering and Re-Authoring for Mobile Users" August 18, 1998. Palo Alto Laboratory, CA., pages 534-546. THE COMPUTER JOURNAL, VOL. 42, NO. 6) in view of Zancho (US Patent # 5,814,798) and further in view of Simon et al (US 6,065,008).

Regarding claims 7, 23, 24, 32 and 42, Bickmore fails to specifically disclose a font server which stores a plurality of candidate fonts used and selected by the font determining unit. However, Simon does disclose a font server which stores a plurality of candidate fonts used and selected by the font determining unit (col. 2, lines 27-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2684

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a font server which stores a plurality of candidate fonts used and selected by the font determining unit as taught by Simon with Bickmore's invention in order to provide to user with numerous fonts.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 13, 15, 17, 25, 30, 31, 33, 38, 39, 41 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 13, 15, 17, 25, 30, 31, 33, 38, 39, 41 and 43, see reasons for allowance in office action dated 9/27/04.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 571-272-7884. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM with every other Friday off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2684

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edan Orgad

April 17, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER